

## **USS Liberty**

The USS Liberty is easily a false flag operation. It's a part of American history. Some believe that it's an accident and others don't. The incident is a part of the complex history of the Middle East. Middle Eastern history for thousands of years have been marked with wars, triumphs, ethnic disputes, imperialism, Crusades, and other facets of the human experience. It's certainly a great motto to allow the history of the Middle East to rely upon as a motivating means to have **cooperation & mutual respect for all peoples** in desiring a more perfect Earth.

David Ben Gurion developed the Israeli Terrorist Cell called Unit 131. Back in the 1950's, America was an ally with Egyptian President Gamal Adbel Nasser. Ben Gurion believed that

Egypt would attack Israel and he was against the U.S./Egypt alliance. So, the Israeli government tried to use young Israelis disguised as terrorists to implicate another nation of terrorism. These principles would be a part of Operation Cyanide that tried to use the U.S.S. Liberty to attempt to initiate WWIII. Something happened in May 23, 1967. There were instructions from the Deputy Secretary of Defense Cyrus R. Vance to the Liberty commander William L. McGonagle to send the ship to the eastern Mediterranean via Rota, Spain. People thought it would put sailors in risk. Frank Raven or the G Group Chief resisted such a risky move, "The ship will be defenseless out there. If war breaks out, she'll be alone and vulnerable. Either side might start shooting at her...I say the ship should be left where it is." Army General Lyman L. Lemnitzer of Northwoods put Admiral John S. McCain (or the father of John McCain) to be in charge of the USS Liberty. McCain Sr. was the Commander in Chief of the U.S. Navy in Europe in that time. U.S. Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara per President Johnson's instructions made Admiral McCain into a four star commanding position by February 1967. McCain Sr. made his operations known from his office in London. President Lyndon Baines Johnson wanted support and tried to reopen the Straits of Tiran that was previously closed to Israel by Egypt. Israel was by that time creating its nuclear program in the Negev desert. Israel wanted more military assistance from the West. These materials included 100 Hawk missiles, 140 Patton tanks, and 24 Skyhawk Jets. Ironically, President John F. Kennedy opposed Israel developing nuclear weapons, because Kennedy desired a peaceful end to the Cold War (without nuclear agitation or nuclear provocation). Lyndon Johnson had many

Israeli supporters like John P. Roche and Mathilde Krim. Roche wrote Johnson's speech on the Straits of Tiran back in May of 1967. Krim's first husband was David Danon. He was from Bulgaria and was a part of the Iroun (that done terrorist acts before). Mathilde was a gun runner for the Irgun that was headed by Menachem Begin even before 1948. She was friends with the terrorist group Stern Gang (it was created by Avraham Stern and headed by Yitzhah Shamir). In June 3, 1967, the USS Liberty traveled in international waters off the coast of the Gaza Strip. The ship wanted to use independent surveillance of the eastern Mediterranean. Israel attacked Egypt over land and fear of an Egyptian attack in 1967. In 1982, Menachem Begin, then Israel's Prime Minister admitted that: "...The Egyptian army concentrations in the Sinai approaches do not prove that Nasser was really about to attack us. We must be honest with ourselves. We decided to attack him..." The Israeli attack was still premeditated and preemptive. Mordechai Bentov was a part of the Israeli cabinet. He admitted that the threat of extermination was used as an invention to justify the annexation of new Arab territories. Some suspect that Johnson had an affair with the blonde Mathilde Krim. Krim passed documents from Israel to Johnson. Many people thought that Egypt started the war, but it didn't. Abba Eban, Israeli's foreign Minister, told the U.S. Ambassador Walworth Barbour that they were going to the U.N. Security Council to protest Egypt's actions when Egypt didn't start the war. Yitzhak Rabin was the former Chief of Staff and then Ambassador of the U.S. He admitted that Nasser didn't want a war. The USS Liberty watched the Israeli Six Day War with Egypt (or the United Arab Republic). Operation Cyanide was an Israeli program that desired to war against Egypt and depose the nationalist Gamal Adbul Nasser. The elite really hate or dislike nationalists. U.S. intelligence and military specialists were sent to Israel months before to plan and prepare for the operation. Some believe that the operation allowed the USS Liberty to be sunk. The ship had sensitive equipment. On June 8, 1967, Israeli Navy and Air Force attacked the US ship USS Liberty with torpedoes, rockets, white phosphorous, cannons, and armor-piercing bullets. This occurred without reason and without warning. The Israelis used napalm or a flammable liquid or jellied gasoline. Liberty's deck was a 3,000 degree firestorm. 34 Americans were killed and 171 people were wounded (one person died from the wounds). Napalm is meant to kill and maim. It's used to cause panic and create confusion.

The first wave of attacks had as high as 8 planes using heat seeking missiles. It destroyed all of the transformers except one that fed the aerial system. This attack was well planned and some call it a premeditated strike in order to prevent the USS Liberty to tell the world of this murderous attack. The surveillance ship could no long listen to the war or send messages. The other motive of the attack according to people was to kill the crew and send the ship into the bottom of the

ocean. There were 3 surface craft that fired torpedoes not just planes attacking the USS Liberty. One missile hit below the water line and made a hole. This hole was measured in 45 feet by 34 by 37. The ship didn't sink and it was listed 11 degrees. The torpedo boats even destroyed those lifeboats that were inflated. The Six Day War war ended in June 8 and Egypt lost. The crew on Liberty first thought that Arabic people in unmarked planes and ship attacked the ship in retaliation for the U.S. support of Israel. Moshe Dayan was one of the leaders directing the attack. 294 people survived it. The remains of 5 men were never found and body parts were put into sacks for burial. Vice Admiral William I. Martin was a former WWII test pilot and a Pentagon loyalist. He was in command of the Sixth Fleet in April of 1967. There were many U.S. ships in the area during Israel's assault. They include the Saratoga (being commanded by Captain Joseph Tully), the Flagship (that was commanded by Rear Admiral Roger Payne), the USS America (This was the new carrier that was under the command by Captain Don Engen. The Sixth Fleet Carrier Division Commander was Rear Admiral Lawrence Geis), etc. Reports existed of a dozen of escorting destroyer ships. The Saratoga's Communications Officer got a message from the Liberty. It was addressed to all U.S. ships or stations. The message read: "...Liberty is under attack by unknown enemy air and surface units. Request Assistance." Help only came in about 15 to 20 minutes away from the Liberty. Captain Tully notified Vice Admiral Martin that unless directed otherwise, he planned to launch a Ready Strike group in support of Liberty. Martin approved and directed the USS America to launch their Ready Strike Group. Yet, Rear Admiral Geis of the USS America recalled his aircraft. This caused a problem for Captain Tully. Vice Admiral ordered another strike to give aid to the Liberty, but this was against recalled by Rear Admiral Gies. An independent, unofficial study found that:

"...The White House deliberately prevented the U.S. Navy from coming to the defense of *USS Liberty* by recalling Sixth Fleet military rescue support while the ship was under attack..." (Findings of the Independent Commission of Inquiry into the Israeli Attack on USS Liberty, the Recall of Military Rescue Support Aircraft while the Ship was Under Attack, and the Subsequent Cover-up by the United States Government, Capitol Hill, Washington, D.C., October 22, 2003). Here's other sources on this occurrence:

"During the 1967 Six-Day War between Israel and Egypt, the U.S. electronic and intelligence research vessel 'Liberty' was cruising in <u>international</u> waters in the Mediterranean [Sea] and was suddenly attacked by Israeli torpedo boats and jet aircraft. The attack, which lasted almost two hours, killed 34 American sailors and wounded 171. A U.S. naval court of inquiry found that the 'Liberty' was, without question, in <u>international</u> waters; the weather was clear; the ship's identity plainly marked and the U.S. flag waving in the wind." - Andrew Hurley in his book, One Nation Under Israel

"Twenty-six years have passed since that clear day on June 8, 1967 when Israel attacked the USS Liberty with aircraft and torpedo boats, killing 34 young men and wounding 171. The attack in <u>international</u> waters followed over <u>nine</u> hours of close surveillance. Israeli pilots circled the ship at low level [i.e., at low altitudes] <u>13 times</u> BEFORE attacking. Radio operators in Spain, Lebanon, Germany, and aboard the ship itself all heard the [Israeli] pilots reporting to their headquarters that this was an American ship. They attacked anyway. And when the ship failed to sink, the Israeli government concocted an elaborate story to cover the **crime**."

- James M. Ennes Jr., author of the book <u>Assault on the Liberty</u> (Random House, 1980). James Ennes was serving as a lieutenant on the bridge of the USS Liberty on the day of the attack.

There is still a banking elite that wants centralized control over human endeavors. *Carrol J. Quigley - Professor of International Affairs at Georgetown University, Bill Clinton's mentor. The Anglo-American Establishment wrote in his* Tragedy and Hope book from 1966 that:

"There is... an inner core of intimate associates who unquestionably knew that they were members of a group devoted to a common purpose and an outer circle of a larger number on whom the inner circle acted by personal persuasion, patronage distribution, and social pressure. It is probable that most members of the outer circle were not conscious that they were being used by a secret society."

"Their aim is nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole."

Now, McNamara recalled the aircraft that has been sent from the Saratoga within minutes. Johnson had to order this to occur. Secretary McNamara ordered the aircraft recalled a second time. Admiral Geis was told by President Lyndon Baines Johnson that the aircraft ought to be returned. LBJ didn't want Israel to be embarrassed as an ally and he didn't care who was killed or what was done to the ship. LBJ is known for his use of profanity and ruthlessness. According Geis, Johnson told him that: "....I want that godd ship going to the bottom. No help - recall the wings." (USS Liberty White House-sanctioned attack, June 8, 1967 – Excerpt from the video, Terror storm by Alex Jones). Tony Hart was a Navy communication technician stationed at the U.S. Navy base in Morocco. In June 1967, Hart reported that McNamara didn't want to go to war over dead sailors, which is disrespectful. As the USS Liberty had sunk, Johnson wanted Egypt to be blamed. Even the McCains and government officials were silent on the Liberty assault. The attack ended in ca. 3:15 pm. Later, Israeli helicopters circled the ship. Some of the crewmembers, not yet realizing that Israel was the perpetrator of the attack, were glad to see their friendly ally. Then one crewmember shouted, "They've come to finish us off." The copters watched and flew away while U.S. rescue planes had a lack of response. There were 851 rocket hits and at least 15 planes had targeted the USS Liberty. Some have called this beyond an accident. Captain Ward was the chief Navy attorney for the 1967 U.S. Navy Court of Inquiry. He believed that the USS Liberty was marked as a US ship. Captain Boston believed that the attack was deliberate. The U.S. Navy once prohibited its investigators from going to Israel to question Israeli pilots and boat captains. Yitzhak Rabin, the fifth Prime Minister of Israel, believed that Israel was not to blame for the attack on the USS Liberty but said, "In any event, to express our goodwill and humanitarian concern, the Israeli Government paid \$13 million in compensation to the families of the Americans killed or wounded in the attack." (Operation Cyanide, p. 65). So, the lesson here is that

we should not promote hatred against any people whether they are Jews or Arabs. On the other hand, no nation is perfect and every nation should own up to its errors. I believe that both Israel and her Arab neighbors have a right to exist in peace. I do, however, believe that evil deeds – whether done by Israelis, Arabs, Russians, Chinese, or even Americans – need to be exposed. In America, we should have infrastructure development. Investments have done huge dividends like high speed rail, and other services. Italy, China, and other nations have these high speed rail systems, Maglev trains, and other 21<sup>st</sup> century transportation devices. That is why in America, I do endorse investments in high tech infrastructure development in rails, etc. The USS Liberty attack was an error that the Israelis did in the past indeed. Many wish for men like Dr. King and RFK to exist now, but even they would realize that this can't be. We can't be like other great men or great women. They would want us to be ourselves and present to the world our unique talent and our strong fervor for equality, liberty, and real human freedom. The treatment of another person ought to be same as we would desire to be treated. For the goals of human liberation from oppression isn't limited to one background, but it's part and parcel of the real agenda that exists from all of the human race. Violence, bigotry, and faulty stereotypes should be crushed since a human being is a human being. There are calls for us to be middle of the road or post-partisan. One answer to that futile, non-efficient calling is the following. Have the great politicians, theologians, engineers, architects, soldiers, athletes, other scholars, and other contributors to civilizations would of done of what they have achieved by being moderate (or lukewarm)? The answer is of course, No. A moderate persuasion or mentality has never lead into real reforms in society. Real reforms have been instituted as a result of radical policies, radical protests, and radical calls for real proposals to enrich people. It took the radical acts of abolitionists and the Civil War to banish slavery. It took radical protests and other radical actions to crush Jim Crow segregation. Being lukewarm ought to never be a goal of a person. Being legendary, being outside of the box, and being inspirational are great aims to subscribe and aspire to. All peoples despite our differences politically & socially ought to wish any human being true happiness and a life filled with a just, righteous purpose. Truth, honor, and dignity are more important than swagger, materialism, or bluster. On the other hand, there is nothing wrong with us expressing our unique style or flavor to the world. Having flavor is cool. An incorrect philosophy for anyone to embrace though is to assume that your flavor makes you better than someone else (in order to try to intimidate another human being in the realm of extreme materialism). We have the right to express creativity and be creative among the composition of our entire personality or repertoire. We can be great and legendary in our own communities to sustain that peace, tranquility, and inspiration for our fellow people.



## Appendix A: Summary of Oil History

Oil control is a way that the Anglo-American establishment uses to financially dominate most of the world. Even F. William Engdahl mentions this reality in his book entitled, "A Century of War." Oil is such a powerful resource that controlling it will mean that you can control global economies. Henry Ford and Rudolf Diesel (or the inventor of the diesel engine) intended cars to not use gasoline ironically. Henry Ford told a New York Times reporter that ethyl alcohol was the fuel of the future in 1925. Ethyl alcohol relates to ethanol today. Henry Ford's first Model T was built to run on hemp gasoline and the


car itself was constructed from Hemp. Ford was photographed among his hemp fields. The car used fuel from the soil. Rudolf Diesel used the diesel engine to run on vegetable and seed oils like hemp. He ran his engine on peanut oil for the 1900 World's Fair. As an alternative to methanol, hemp has at least one glowing report: the plant produces up to four times more cellulose per acre than trees. And a hemp crop grows a little quicker than a forest. Hemp is renewable as well. Hemp is biodegradable, so oil spills involving hemp become solely about just fertilizer not eco-catastrophes (like the 2010 oil spill recently). Hemp fuel doesn't contribute to sulfur dioxide air poisoning either. Biodiesel can cut emissions like **carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons**. Petroleum interests launched smear campaigns to have an oil monopoly in the world. This campaign prevented agricultural systems to have a radical usage of hemp. Even in 1925, some people wanted to promote alternatives to gasoline additives. The feds banned the cultivation of hemp in the 1930's.

Back in 1928, oil cartels signed an agreement called Achancarry Agreement (being signed in Achnacarry, Scotland). The deal was that the cartels divided the oil resources of the world and markets between 7 major British and American controlled cartels. This has been called the Seven Sisters. Their names are Esso (Standard Oil of New Jersey, now Exxon), Mobil (Standard Oil of New York), Gulf Oil, Texaco, Standard Oil of California (Chevron), Royal Dutch Shell, and the Anglo-Persian Oil Company (British Petroleum). Enghahl wrote about this incident. He wrote that the Seven Sisters acted as one unit and that: "...British and American oil majors agreed to accept the existing market divisions and shares, to set a secret world cartel price, and end the destructive competition and price wars of the last decade." This caused the hegemonic Anglo-American empire to control most of the world's oil reserves. They use ruthlessness to maintain that power as documented by Engdahl. After WWII, the Bretton Wood conference made the American dollar the world's functional currency pegged to gold at 35 dollar an ounce. Later, the IMF, the World Bank, and the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade or GATT was created. The WTO came from GATT. The U.S. Federal Reserve controlled of economic system in America (it's a private enterprise not being federal with no reserves. It's owned and controlled by New York banks. These banks are link with the major American oil cartels). After WWII, the Rockefeller elitists ruled major oil companies like many Standard Oil franchises and Mobil Oil (including Chase Manhattan Bank, National City Bank, Chemical Bank, the Bank of New York, Kuhn-Loeb, and others). According to Engdahl, 10 percent of the aid from the Marshall Plan (to rebuild European infrastructure) was used to buy American oil. By 1947, the fiver American oil companies supplied half of Western Europe's oil. Big oil used its monopoly power to double the price of oil from 1945 to 1948. This was done to allow European countries to use the Marshall Plan to aid European oil refining capacity. The U.S. and the West want to control the rapid modernization and industrialization of the Third World especially. They become less dependent on American dollar. So, Nixon in August 15, 1971 ended dollar convertibility into gold. The world finance system was into a dollar standard with no gold backing. Foreign holders of U.S. dollars could no longer redeem their paper for U.S. gold reserves. Yet, the dollar kept devaluing.

By February of 1973, the dollar was again devalued by 10% and the Fed's price for gold was set at \$42.22/ounce. This action was also ineffective, and by the end of March the value of the US dollar had plummeted around the world, dropping 40% against the German Deutschmark. However, the Anglo-American financial establishment had an Ace up its sleeve, a card that was first revealed in May of 1973 at the Bilderberg meeting held at Saltsjoebaden, Sweden. Engdahl wrote that this meeting was about the Bilderbergers to want to trigger a global oil embargo in the world (to increase the world's oil prices, strop radically industrial growth in the world, and tilt the power to the Anglo-American financial interests). The Bilderberg meeting wanted the flood of oil dollars.

From 1949 to 1970, the price of oil (influenced by OPEC from the early 1960's) was about \$1.90/barrel. It gradually increased from 1970 to 1973. In early 1973, it jumped into \$3.01. This massive price hike was then dwarfed on January 1, 1974, when OPEC raised the price of oil by more than 100% to \$11.65/barrel. Overall, from early 1973 to January of 1974 the price of oil jumped over 400%. Nixon didn't know what happened or what to do. The mostly Sunni Saudi Arabian nation allied with Anglo-American banks too. Later, petro dollars (or an oil standard) came to replace the gold standard. Many Third World nations didn't have enough oil resources to compensate the rapid oil price increases. So, they depended on the World Bank and other institutions to pay debts or other policies (like the West offering them petrodollars). The catch is that these petrodollars were used to refinance deficits not create new infrastructure, agriculture, or improve the world's standard of living. As CFR and New York attorney admitted, he wanted: "....A degree of 'controlled dis-integration' in the world economy is a legitimate objective for the 1980s..." This is what occurred. Worldwide by the 1980's, the traditional industrial and agriculture development was either disintegrated or under mostly corporate hands.





\*On a side note: A Bilderberg owned publication called the Economist admitted that powerful globocrat elites are ruling much of the geo-political systems in the world (beyond a conspiracy). So, the agenda for global centralized control system is in public and out in the open. The push for centralized world government control system as an open conspiracy. There are groups that promote this ideology. The groups promoting this agenda are the Bilderberg Group, the Trilateral Commission, and the CFR (they shape the politicians and power brokers that they have bought in numerous circumstances). The Economist admits that "the cosmopolitan elite" do indeed "flock together" at such gatherings and elusive clubs to shape the world that the "superclass" wishes to inhabit. It's assumed that the open conspiracy is flaunted by the established. Its editor is a regular attendee in the annual Bilderberg conference. This is an admission that the piece proudly discloses in its opening paragraphs. The Bilderberg group in real life does influence world policy and acts as a conspiracy to promote that globalization agenda. Its members and proponents were instrumental in creating the single European currency. It hosts the world's most influential business people plus aristocrats (including a small group of journalists). It represents the biggest global media corporations and its sworn to comply with the Chathan House rules (that means that can't disclose any of the big ideas that are hatched

"The world is a complicated place, with oceans of new information sloshing around." the piece continues, "To run a multinational organisation, it helps if you have a rough idea of what is going on. It also helps to be on first-name terms with other globocrats. So the

cosmopolitan elite-international financiers, bureaucrats, charity bosses and thinkersconstantly meet and talk. They flock to elite gatherings... They form clubs." One such club is the Bilderberg Group. The Pilgrim Society is more secret and more powerful than the Bilderbergers today. Under the Bilderbergers are the groups by the names of the Council on Foreign Relations, The Trilateral Commission, The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace and The Group of Thirty. Many people are exposing their plans and their influence. The Economist quotes how these international events shaped policies involving diplomatic agreements and even decisions on major wars. The Economist quotes former Kissinger luminary and international elitist David Rothkopf, the author of the book *The Global Power Elite and the World They Are Making*. What they offer is access to 'some of the world's most sequestered and elusive leaders'. As such, they are one of 'the informal mechanisms of [global] power', Rothkopf adds. The Economist magazine acts slick and tries not to blame the globocrat elites for the financial crisis, but that they or the super class were just caught napping. They still admit that these international bankers have been caught looting the system wholesale. It tries to convince readers that ultimately the presence of an inter-connected international elite actually saved the world from complete financial meltdown – so you can sleep easy at night. They omit that the international financial crisis was carefully massaged back in 2006. Reports from the Bilderberg meetings in Canada in '06 and in Turkey in '07 predicted a global housing crash and forecast a prolonged financial meltdown as a result. The group has since been debating exactly how it should move to shape the economic situation in order to further its own global influence and that of the (honestly, we're not evil at all) "super class." A decade ago, people were called extreme for just mentioning the fact the Bilderberg was a major manipulator of world events. Now, these views are admitted in editorials of the world's mainstream press engines.



## Appendix B: The Egyptian Revolution of 2011

Revolution is in the air from Tunisia, Jordan, and now in Egypt. Mohamed El Baradei is the globalist pied piper of the Egyptian revolt. Many protesters sincerely want to free from the dictator Hosni Mubarak. The revolt is heavily composed of an organically driven people. Egypt is controlled now heavily by the U.S. military industrial complex. One threat now is that hopefully a NGO/globalist funded person like El Baradei won't take over Egypt if the autocrat Mubarak leaves office after almost 30 years. Under Mubarak, the country gets some \$2 billion in aid from America, 2nd only to Israel in the Middle East. Most of that money is spend on Egypt's military not to the people of Egypt. Egypt pays out \$1.1 million annually to the Podesta Group, which is a left gatekeeping organization that's closely tied to the Obama administration (some say this is acting as foreign agents for Mubarak's regime). Mubarack has loyalty to the U.S. Empire. Vice President Joe Biden said that Mubarak's unbroken 30 year reign didn't represent a dictatorship and that he was a close ally of the West. That isn't true of course. "Egypt under Mubarak uses its billions in U.S. military aid to detain, beat and torture dissenters, opposition politicians and journalists; many have died in custody," writes Mark Zepezauer. "Thousands of political prisoners and pro-democracy activists are held in overcrowded, disease-ridden prisons, without charges or trials. Press restrictions, including newspaper shutdowns, are widespread." This grassroots movement among individual people is different from the staged color revolution in Georgia, the Ukraine, or Yugoslavia (when those events were orchestrated events disguised as spontaneous uprisings intended to remove rogue leaders hostile to the global elite's agenda for world government). The recent Egyptian revolt was started by young Egyptians that are tired of their freedoms being violated. Some folks are trying to co-opt this movement (by the same elite people that pulled Mubarak's strings for decades) that is occurring in the streets of Cairo, Alexandria, Suez, and other places. The U.S. knew that Egypt's regime

was bound to collapse for 3 years. The Telegraph said that the American Embassy trained rebel leaders to try to infiltrate opposition groups from the very beginning. One infiltrator is the top UN official and staunch Mubarak adversary Mohamed El Baradei. He went into Cairo to attempt to lead the protest movement. El Baradei serves on the Board of Trustees of the International Crisis Group (or the ICG). The ICG issued a press statement protesting the house arrest of El Baradei. The ICG is a slick, NGO (or non-governmental organization) that has an annual budget of over \$15 million. It's bankrolled by folks in the Carnegie, Ford and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundations. George Soros' Open Society Institute funds the ICG too. Soros serves as a member of the organization's Executive Committee. This is a major geopolitical steering group of the global elite (especially among Left Gatekeepers). El Baradei might be a new man to head the post-Mubarak government.

Those protesting Mubarak shouldn't fall for a NGO front man. Mubarak knows that his time with the power elite may be short by remarking during a national address Saturday that the protests were "part of a bigger plot to shake the stability and destroy the legitimacy" of the political system. Zbigniew Brzezinski is a globalist on the board of the International Crisis Group. He warned last year that the international hierarchy was experiencing rebellion from a global awakening that would be led by young radicals in third world nations. He admitted that much of the world is awakening about issues about globalization, the new world order, and problems with the oppression against the interests of the common people (especially in the parameters of the Third World). Brzezinski predicted the wave of revolt now and it's spreading like wildfire in the globe. Brzezinski and his fellow globalists want to pick up the pieces in order to continue the status quo. While the people, who risked their lives for real change, could be victims of a monumental deception. If the Egyptians topple Mubarak to replace him with El Baradei, they will have achieved nothing (to make Egypt to exist as a subservient client state of the U.S. military industrial complex). If the Egyptians wish Egypt to be an independent nation (and then achieve this goal), then it can be a great event in history. The protesters destroying Egyptian artifacts are wrong and these protesters ironically aren't the originators of the Egyptian nation to put it bluntly. So, real reforms and real liberty ought to manifest itself inside of Egypt. Many pro-Mubarak forces are trying to stir violence in order to maintain the dictator Mubarak into power (some of plain clothes police officers). Some pro-Mubarak forces have assaulted journalists too (from America, the Arabic world, from the UK, etc. Many pro-Mubarak forces have tried to infiltrate protestors in order to attempt to make sincere dissenters to the current regime as the sole initiators of the violence in Egypt). They or the pro-status quo forces want to hunt journalists since journalists are exposing the corruption of Hosni Mubarak. One person named Shahira Amin (a female reporter) resigned from Nile TV for the present Egyptian government acting too heavy handed in restricting the news coverage in the Nile TV network. Sooner or later, Mubarak's reign will end. The time table for radical changes in the Middle East is more complicated since the Middle Eastern mind remembers events spanning centuries. The administration is balancing the need to play it cool and desiring a regime change in Egypt. Hopefully, real liberty will reign in Egypt.